



Difference between the President's Budget and House Appropriations: Impact on New York State for Selected Programs

Program	FFY 2007 App (millions)	House App Bill (millions)	President's Budget (millions)	Reduction: President's Budget vs House (millions)	Reduction in New York (millions)	Percentage reduction	President's Budget less than FFY 2007?
Elementary and Secondary Education	\$ 34,529	\$ 36,964	\$ 35,214	\$ 1,750	\$ 137.0	4.4%	
Child Care	2,062	2,137	2,062	75	3.9	3.5%	
Head Start	6,889	6,964	6,789	175	11.0	2.5%	YES
EPA Clean Water Revolving Fund	1,084	1,125	688	437	48.1	38.8%	YES
Community Development Block Grant	3,711	3,929	2,619	1,300	124.5	33.4%	YES
Justice Assistance Grants	520	600	0	600	32.2	100.0%	YES
LIHEAP (Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program)	2,161	2,662	1,782	880	102.2	33.1%	YES
Home Delivered Nutrition	188	194	181	13	0.6	6.9%	YES
WIC (Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children)	5,204	5,604	5,387	217	14.3	3.9%	

President George W. Bush has threatened to veto an array of appropriations bills because they provide funding for domestic programs above the levels he requested in his budget. While the overall difference in funding between the House-passed appropriations bills and the president's budget request is relatively modest—less than one percent of total federal expenditures—adopting the president's budget request would mean significantly less funding in some key domestic programs that provide critical services to a broad swath of families and communities. This table includes estimates of the difference in funding for New York for some of these programs. The estimates were calculated by the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities.

For the programs examined here, not only are the president's proposed funding levels below the levels in the House-passed appropriations bills, but (with the exception of K-12 education) the president's proposed funding levels actually fall below the amounts needed just to keep pace with inflation. In fact, in the case of CDBG, the Clean Water State Revolving Fund, Justice Assistance Grants, LIHEAP, and the Home-Delivered Nutrition Services Program, the President's proposed funding levels are well below the 2007 funding levels—even without adjusting for inflation.