The State of Working New York: Treading Water in a Tenuous Recovery Presentation before the NYS Economic and Revenue Consensus Forecasting Conference

Trudi Renwick, Ph.D. Senior Economist Fiscal Policy Institute March 1, 2006

National recovery that started in mid-2003 is the weakest recovery in terms of job growth since 1949.







Source: BLS, NYS DOL. Not seasonally adjusted. Analysis by FPI.

We refer to the national recovery as tenuous because it has been largely fueled by borrowing on the part of households (through mortgage debt) and all levels of government. Total national debt has increased 50% faster than the growth in GDP.

(\$billions, nominal)	2001:1	2005:1	change	% change
Gross Domestic Product				
Gross domestic product (GDP)	\$10,021.5	\$12,191.7	\$2,170.2	21.7%
The Growth in Debt Levels				
				\frown
Total domestic nonfinancial debt	\$18,378.1	\$24,772.7	\$6,394.6	34.8%
Home mortgage debt	\$4,925.9	\$7,768.6	\$2,842.7	57.7%

Nationally, workers and their families are treading water in this recovery largely because the growth in wages (14%) has dramatically trailed the growth in profits

(\$billions, nominal)	2001:1	2005:1	change	% change
National Income, Wages	and Profits			
National income	\$8,987.6	\$10,768.4	\$1,780.8	19.8%
Wages and salaries	\$4,961.1	\$5,646.9	\$685.8	13.8%
Corporate profits	\$778.7	\$1,288.2	\$509.5	65.4%
Sources: U.S. Bureau of Econo Federal Reserve System, Flow c	mic Analysis, National of Funds, First Quarter	Income and Pro 2005	duct Acccoun	ts, and

Between 2004 and 2001 output per worker in New York State increased by 5.9% while average wages increased by only 1.8%.



While New York's income growth often exceeds job growth, this was particularly the case in 2004 and 2005



Capital Gains and Wall Street Wages Account for more than half of growth in NYS AGI over the past few years.

	New York State			Change from prior year				Gains and Wall eet Wages		
	Adjusted Gross	Net	Total Wall			Wall Street	as share of			
	Income (NYSAGI)	Capital Gains	Street Wages	AGI	Capital Gains	Wages	AGI	AGI change		
	(\$ millions)	(\$ millions)	(\$ millions)	(\$ millions)	(\$ millions)	(\$ millions)	f	rom prior year		
2001	481,001	29,450	49,810	-27,933	-32,852	1,033	16.5%	113.9%		
2002	459,919	20,398	40,278	-21,082	-9,052	-9,532	13.2%	88.2%		
2003	473,778	28,455	38,008	13,859	8,057	-2,270	14.0%	41.8%		
2004	525,751	49,427	46,161	51,973	20,972	8,153	18.2%	56.0%		
2005	571,592	69,855	56,316	45,841	20,428	10,155	22.1%	66.7%		
CH	IANGE 20)02 to 20	05	111,673	49,457	16,038	(58.6%		
Sources	s: NYSAGI and Cap	oital Gains, New	York State Div	ision of the Budg	et; 2004-2007are	DoB projections.				
	Wall Street Wages	from NYS DoL	: 1991-1999 on S	SIC basis; 2000-20	007 on NAICS ba	sis.				
	2005 Wall Street w	ages are FPI pro	ojections.							

Inflation-adjusted median hourly wages in NYS had gone up in 2001 and 2002, but have fallen each of the last three years.



Source: Economic Policy Institute analysis of Current Population Survey data 1990-2004; FPI analysis 2005

The 20th percentile wage in New York State has not increased since 1990.



After dropping at the end of the late 1990s expansion, New York State's poverty rate increased in 2003 and 2004.



Source: US Census Bureau.

NYS has the widest income gap between rich and poor of all 50 states

- NYS has the widest income gap between rich and poor of all 50 states (high incomes 8.1 times greater than low incomes), and the 6th widest gap between the rich and those in the middle.
- These gaps have grown dramatically over the last 20 years. For most of the 1980s and 1990s, the richest families gained steadily while the poor and those in the middle held steady or lost ground. For a few years in the late 1990s, a sustained period of growth tightened the labor market enough that people at the bottom and the middle finally saw meaningful increases. However, over the entire 20-year time period, only one state (Arizona) experienced greater growth in income disparity between the rich and the poor than NYS.
- While NY has had a relatively unequal income distribution for some time, in the early 1980s it ranked 11th among the 50 states.
- Polarization has increased since the early 2000s as real median wages have fallen and capital gains and bonuses and wages at the top have soared.

New York has the widest income gap between rich and poor of all 50 states.



Note: These figures represent the relationship between the average income of families in the top fifth and the average income of families in the bottom fifth. For example, New York's ratio of 8.11 means that the average income of the top fifth of families (\$130,431) is approximately 8.11 times greater than the average income in the bottom fifth of families (\$16,076).

New York also has one of the widest gaps between the top and the middle income groups



Note: These figures represent the relationship between the average income of families in the top fifth and the average income of families in the middle fifth. For example, New York's ratio of 2.69 means that the average income of the top fifth of families (\$130,431) is 2.69 times greater than the average income in the middle fifth of families.

New York's top-to-bottom inequality has risen dramatically over the past 20 years.

Ratio of average income of top quintile to average income of bottom quintile



Figure 2.4

Family Income and Benefits, NYC, NYS and Balance of U.S., by Income Range Shares, 2000 and 2004

percentage point change

2000-2004

share of all families, by income range (\$2004)

								b	alance of
	NY	Ϋ́C	NY	′S	balance	of U.S. *	NYC	NYS	U.S.
income range	2000	2004	2000	2004	2000	2004			
All families	1,795,855	1,802,009	4,603,388	4,614,684	66,372,525	69,271,269			
less than \$35,000	35.9%	38.6%	28.8%	29.9%	29.9%	30.6%	2.7%	1.1%	0.8%
less than \$10,000	10.0%	10.0%	6.3%	6.3%	4.8%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	4.9%	5.9%	3.9%	4.0%	3.9%	4.0%	1.0%	0.1%	0.1%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	9.8%	12.6%	8.8%	9.8%	10.0%	10.1%	2.8%	1.0%	0.1%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	11.2%	10.1%	9.8%	9.8%	11.2%	11.1%	-1.1%	0.0%	-0.1%
\$35,000 to \$149,999	56.7%	53.4%	62.3%	60.6%	63.2%	62.5%	-3.3%	-1.7%	-0.6%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	14.7%	14.2%	14.7%	14.1%	16.0%	15.7%	-0.5%	0.6%	-0.5%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	18.7%	17.2%	20.3%	19.4%	22.0%	21.4%	-1.5%	-0.9%	-0.6%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	12.5%	10.9%	14.0%	13.5%	13.6%	13.5%	-1.6%	-0.5%	-0.1%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	10.8%	11.1%	13.3%	13.6%	11.6%	11.9%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%
\$150,000 or more	7.4%	7.9%	8.8%	9.4%	6.9%	7.1%	0.5%	0.6%	0.2%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	3.6%	3.2%	4.4%	4.4%	3.5%	3.7%	-0.4%	0.0%	0.1%
\$200,000 or more	3.8%	4.7%	4.4%	5.0%	3.3%	3.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.1%
Median familyincome	\$49,346	\$46,717	\$57,478	\$56,556	\$54,419	\$53,692	-5.3%	-1.6%	-1.3%
Mean family income	\$72,024	\$70,575	\$76,923	\$77,768	\$69,770	\$69,593	-2.0%	1.1%	-0.3%

* median family income is for U.S.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, August 2005 release.

New York State's Economic Outlook for 2006

- Though job growth slowed in the last half of 2005, job and income growth projected to continue at moderate pace in 2006.
- Real estate market has started to cool but job growth has picked up in financial and professional services (these 2 sectors accounted for 1/3 of 2005 NYS net job growth.
- Tourism continues to boost NYC job growth in the arts, hotels and restaurants.
- Construction job growth is weak, and manufacturing job decline has moderated but continues.
- Temp agencies and security guards are experiencing moderate job gains.
- Most of the information sector, particularly publishing and telecommunications, have been flat and are not expected to pick up.

NYS j	ob gr	owth	in the r	ecovery p	period sind	e mid-
20	03 is	at les	s than l	half the n	ational pa	ce
Fmnlovment	(in thousa	nds season	pally adjusted)	US	New Vork State	New York City
Employment	(III thousa			0.5.	The write for state	The write we chy
March 2001	(U.S. peal	k month)		132,511	8,672	3,741
November 2001	(end of na	tional reces	ssion)	130,879	8,472	3,603
May 2003	(U.S. emp	oloyment tro	ough)	129,827	8,399	3,529
December 2005*	(latest mor	nth)		134,468	8,534	3,585
March 2001 - N	ovember 2	2001 (Rec	ession)			
Change				-1,632	-200	-138
% change				-1.2%	-2.3%	-3.7%
November 2001	- May 20	03 (Post-r	ecession Dov	vnturn)		
Change				-1,052	-73	-74
% change				-0.8%	-0.9%	-2.1%
May 2003 - Dec	ember 20	05 (31 mo	nths of recov	ery)		
Change				4,641	135	56
% change				3.6%	1.6%	1.6%
March 2001 - D	ecember 2	2005 (fron	national pre	-recession peak	to latest)	
Change				1.957	-138	-156
% change				1.5%	-1.6%	-4.2%
Source: Bureau of La *December 2005 dat	abor Statistic a are subject	cs (BLS), Ne t to revision	w York State Dej	ot. of Labor. NYS and	l NYC seasonal adjustr	nent by FPI.

Within New York, job growth during the recovery has been best in Eastern NY, weakest in Northern and Western NY Change 12/03 to Change 12/00 to 12/0312/05**Employment* Dec-00** Dec-03 % % Dec-05 number number UNITED STATES 133,308,000 131,026,000 135.188.000 -2,282,000 -1.7% 4.162.000 3.2% NEW YORK STATE 8.831.400 -3.2% 119.300 8.548.200 8.667.500 -283.200 1.4% NEW YORK CITY 3,821,400 -227,500 -6.0% 53,300 1.5% 3,593,900 3,647,200 EASTERN NEW YORK 2,691,900 0.2% 2.5% 2.696.300 2.764.100 4.400 67.800 1,253,800 1,251,300 1,274,000 -2,500 Nassau-Suffolk, NY Metropolitan Division -0.2% 22,700 1.8% 567,900 3.8% Putnam-Rockland-Westchester 567,400 589,000 -500 -0.1% 21,600 Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY MSA 448,600 447,000 456,400 -1,600 -0.4% 2.1% 9,400 Glens Falls, NY Metropolitan Statistical Area 50,000 51,200 53,300 1,200 2.4% 2,100 4.1% Kingston, NY Metropolitan Statistical Area 65,600 65,000 67,000 -600 -0.9% 2,000 3.1% 2.2% Poughkeepsie-Newburgh-Middletown, NY MSA 245,200 253,500 259,200 8,300 3.4% 5,700 2.3% Columbia County 21,800 21,500 22,000 -300 -1.4% 500 Greene County 14,400 14,000 14,700 -400 -2.8% 700 5.0% 800 Sullivan County 24,600 25,400 28,500 3.3% 12.2% 3,100 WESTERN AND NORTHERN NEW YORK -2.5% 0.2% 2.316.600 2.257.700 2.261.300 -58,900 3.600 WNNY Metropolitan areas 1,854,800 1,800,300 1.797.600 -54,500 -2.9% -2.700 -0.1% Binghamton, NY MSA 121,300 113,800 113,300 -7.500 -6.2% -500 -0.4% 564,800 555,700 Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY MSA 555,200 -9,100 -1.6% -500 -0.1% Chautauqua County 59,700 56,700 57,000 -3,000 -5.0% 300 0.5% -1.2% Elmira, NY MSA 44,500 40,700 40,200 -3,800 -8.5% -500 2.1% Ithaca, NY MSA 59,900 62,500 63,800 2,600 4.3% 1,300 536,700 517,200 -3.6% Rochester, NY MSA 505,300 -19,500 -11,900 -2.3% Syracuse, NY MSA 330,300 321,100 327,800 -9.200 -2.8% 6,700 2.1%

132,600

457,400

135,000

463,700

-5,000

-4.400

-3.6%

-1.0%

2,400

6.300

1.8%

1.4%

137,600

461.800

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, New York State Department of Labor

*not seasonally adjusted

WNNY Non-metropolitan areas

Utica-Rome, NY MSA

Manufacturing jobs, still critical to the upstate economy, have declined faster in NYS than in the US over the past 15 years.



Source: BLS, NYS DOL. December 2005 numbers subject to revision.

Although there has been some job recovery in finance and professional and technical services, the average wage of sectors gaining job share in NYS is still 23% less than the average wage of sectors losing job share.

(New York State employment in thousands)	Emplo	oyment	2004 Average		Job Change	Share Change	
SUPERSECTOR*	July-03	December-05	Annual Wage	Change	Gain/Loss	Change (pps.**)	Gain/Loss
Health Care and Social Assistance	1,160.3	1,202.5	\$37,374	42.1	gained jobs	0.27%	gained share
Educational Services	333.1	357.0	\$38,413	23.9	gained jobs	0.21%	gained share
Administrative and Support Services	391.0	412.1	\$33,404	21.2	gained jobs	0.17%	gained share
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	508.1	528.6	\$73,580	20.5	gained jobs	0.14%	gained share
Food Services and Drinking Places	445.9	465.2	\$16,289	19.3	gained jobs	0.14%	gained share
Retail Trade	855.9	877.0	\$26,517	21.2	gained jobs	0.08%	gained share
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	127.1	134.9	\$38,824	7.8	gained jobs	0.07%	gained share
Finance and Insurance	518.0	530.2	\$143,420	12.3	gained jobs	0.04%	gained share
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	179.8	184.8	\$45,809	5.0	gained jobs	0.02%	gained share
Accommodation	77.5	80.2	\$31,206	2.7	gained jobs	0.02%	gained share
Other Services	349.6	356.8	\$28,022	7.1	gained jobs	0.01%	gained share
Waste Management and Remediation Services	19.0	19.9	\$44,041	0.9	gained jobs	0.01%	gained share
Subtotal, industries gaining job share	4,965.2	5,149.1	\$41,928	184.0		1.2%	
Manufacturing	608.0	572.9	\$50,247	-35.1	lost jobs	-0.53%	lost share
Government	1,486.9	1,482.3	\$45,754	-4.5	lost jobs	-0.35%	lost share
Wholesale Trade	354.7	350.0	\$60,436	-4.7	lost jobs	-0.12%	lost share
Information	272.7	269.6	\$73,648	-3.1	lost jobs	-0.09%	lost share
Management of Companies and Enterprises	121.8	119.7	\$115,678	-2.1	lost jobs	-0.05%	lost share
Construction	320.4	322.6	\$49,317	2.2	gained jobs	-0.04%	lost share
Transportation and Warehousing	220.9	222.3	\$38,351	1.4	gained jobs	-0.03%	lost share
Utilities	41.0	39.9	\$82,496	-1.1	lost jobs	-0.02%	lost share
Subtotal, industries losing job share	3,426.3	3,379.4	\$54,602	-47.0		-1.2%	
Total	8,391.5	8,528.5	\$49,921	137.0			
							-

*Analysis excludes natural resources and mining and not elsewhere classified.

Job Quality Ratio -0.25

** Percentage point change.

Note: The average wage for the two groups is computed by weighting the industry average wage by each industry's share of the change in the job share for its group. For a description of the Job Quality Ratio, see State of Working New York, 2005, fig.3.3, p Source: New York State Department of Labor Insured Employment series, analyzed by Fiscal Policy Institute.

Relative to New York's total job growth, job growth in industries with a lot of minimum-wage jobs has fared well since the January 2005 minimum wage increase.

					Ratio of
		Employme	ent (000s)		change in low-
		December 2004	December 2005	Change	wage to overall
New Yo	ork State				
All	non-farm	8,604.6	8,667.5	0.7%	
Re	tail trade	924.0	929.8	0.6%	
Fo	od service	456.9	467.7	2.4%	
Lo	w-wage	1,380.9	1,397.5	1.2%	1.64
Four ne	ighboring	states (NJ, PA, M	A, CT)		
All	non-farm	14,691.1	14,817.5	0.9%	
Re	tail trade	1,775.2	1,788.1	0.7%	
Fo	od service	862.0	881.6	2.3%	
Lo	w-wage	2,637.2	2,669.7	1.2%	1.43
United	States				
All	non-farm	133,187.0	135,188.0	1.5%	
Re	tail trade	15,646.1	15,729.4	0.5%	
Fo	od service	8,885.4	9,095.8	2.4%	
Lo	w-wage	24,531.5	24,825.2	1.2%	0.80
e: Retail tra	de and food	services are the largest	employers of minimum-	-wage workers.	
Decembe	r 2005 figure	s are preliminary			
Source: B	ureau of Lat	oor Statistics			

We know that the health of the NYS economy is key to forecasting revenue growth, but how do state budget decisions impact the health of the New York State economy?

- Simplistic reasoning argues that all increases in government spending depress the economy while all tax cuts stimulate the economy.
- For example, in May 2003 when the legislature passed its budget which included significant revenue increases, the Governor issued a press release with the following lead paragraph:

"Governor George E. Pataki today announced that the fiscally irresponsible budget passed by the State Legislature will harm New Yorkers by imposing the largest tax increase in the history of the State -- a tax increase that will lead to <u>massive job losses</u> and a projected \$13 billion budget shortfall in the upcoming two years."

- The answer is more complex --- both increases in government spending and tax decreases will stimulate the economy. Both spending cuts and tax increases will depress the economy.
- In a balanced budget scenario (e.g. when spending changes must be paid for with tax changes) the <u>net</u> impact on the economy often depends on the kinds of spending and tax changes proposed.

In fact, despite the Governor's dire predictions, employment in NYS began to <u>grow</u> after the 2003 budget was adopted.



A recent report from the Institute on Taxation and Economic Policy estimates that a statewide solution to the CFE case would stimulate the state's economy.

Impact of Education Spending with Various Revenue Sources									
	Gross	Effects (p	ercent chan	ige)	Net Effec	ts (percen	t change)		
	Education Spending	Personal Income Tax	Property Tax	General Sales Tax	Personal Income Tax	Property Tax	General Sales Tax		
Impact of \$6 Billion									
Total Employment	1.26	-0.78	-0.87	-0.94	0.48	0.38	0.32		
Gross State Product	1.1	-0.67	-0.75	-0.80	0.44	0.35	0.3		
Personal Income	0.78	-0.52	-0.58	-0.62	0.27	0.2	0.16		
Impact of \$8 Billion									
Total Employment	1.67	-1.04	-1.17	-1.25	0.64	0.51	0.43		
Gross State Product	1.47	-0.89	-1.00	-1.07	0.58	0.47	0.4		
Personal Income	1.05	-0.69	-0.78	-0.83	0.36	0.27	0.21		
Source: Institute on Taxatio	n and Econo	mic Policy	, Achieving	Adequacy	y, April 20	05, p. 53			

Economic stimulus would be maximized if the personal income tax system were reformed to take make it more progressive --- reversing some of the changes over the past 30 years. In addition, 95% of New Yorkers would get a tax cut.



Net percentage point change with \$6 billion increase in education spending.

Over the past 30 years, NYS has shifted the tax burden by cutting personal income tax rates from the top and bottom rather than adjusting the state's tax brackets and the personal exemption amounts for inflation.



New York Adjusted Gross Income, from \$20,000 to \$313,000, of Families of 4 - weighted average of standard vs. itemized deductions includes Household Credit

Taking federal deductibility into consideration, the wealthiest 5% of New York families have over 40% of the income but less than 31% of the tax burden.



Source: Institute for Taxation & Economic Policy, 2003. Table shows 2002 tax law at 2000 income levels for nonelderly taxpayers, after federal offset. The percentages for the seven income groups add to 100%.

The President's proposed 2007 federal budget represents another risk to the NYS revenue forecast.

- In 2007, New York would lose \$313 million in funding for discretionary grants-in-aid programs for which we have data.
- These cuts would grow to \$885 million by 2011
- These estimates represent less than half the cuts in discretionary grants in aid
- Medicaid and other entitlement cuts would be in addition to these estimates