BRIEF LOOK

Fiscal Policy

Automatic Voter Registration in New York: Good for Democracy and Sound Fiscal Sense

February 11, 2019

VOTER REGISTRATION REMAINS CHALLENGING FOR MANY NEW YORKERS who may

not know how or where to register to vote, or whose minor errors on voter registration forms may prevent them from participating in an election. In response to such problems, sixteen states, and the District of Columbia, have taken the initiative to help voters by legislating automatic voter registration (AVR) programs.¹

Automatic voter registration simplifies the process of getting on the voter rolls by using an automated system to register individuals to vote when they complete a transaction with the Department of Motor Vehicles, and other state agencies. When processing a transaction, the

agency establishes whether the client is eligible to vote but not currently registered. One approach, as used in Oregon, is that the person receives a follow-up post-card in the mail with the options to "opt-out," remain unaffiliated, or register with a political party. Another, as used in California, is that in the process of conducting an electronic transaction people are guided through a series of screens establishing eligibility and asking if they

For just \$1.9 million New York could take a real step toward a more participatory democracy.

want to be registered. In implementing the right system for New York, careful attention must be paid to making sure that individuals who are not eligible to vote are not registered inadvertently.

The need for action on voter registration is pressing. New York has chronically low registration and turnout rates, with just 66 percent of citizens registered to vote and just 57 percent turning out to vote in the 2016 election—that makes New York 46th of the 50 states in the U.S. for registration and 43rd for turnout.² New York should enact automatic voter registration as one important and simple step toward improving these very low rates.

As with any new proposal, it is worth examining the fiscal cost of implementation of this system. In this case, however, the first-year costs are estimated to be a modest \$1.9 million, on top of the current cost of voter registration of \$3.2 million. The costs could vary some depending on the type of system chosen, but this establishes the order of magnitude of the initial investment needed. Over the long run, the costs will likely be gradually reduced as the current system is shifted to an automated system so that more people can be reliably registered at a lower overall cost.

Since some of the cost of the transition to a new system will be borne by counties, a system should be put in place for counties to be reimbursed by the state for reasonable costs they incur. In the long run, counties will likely also realize a savings from an automated system, and in the process get more accurate voter rolls.

The benefit is clear: implementing a system of automatic voter registration will enroll hundreds of thousands, over time even millions more voters, with minimal up-front costs and likely long-term savings.

The Modest Cost of Implementing Automatic Voter Registration

Automatic Voter Registration is quickly catching on around the country as a way to ensure that the voting rolls contain everyone who wants to be registered and is eligible to vote. Sixteen states and the District of Columbia have enacted AVR, all of them in the past three years, starting in 2015.³

The start-up cost is easily manageable. It is projected that New York can potentially reach 1.5 million new registrants with the adoption of AVR. The start-up cost for putting this in place is estimated to be \$1.9 million.⁴

Estimated Number		
Registered	Cost Per	
Through	Person	Estimated
AVR in First	Registered	Cost of AVR
Year	Through AVR	in First Year
1.5 million	\$1.29	\$1.9 million

Estimated Cost of Implementing Automatic Voter Registration in New York: \$1.9 Million

Figure 1 Sources: Center for American Progress, "Close Elections, Missing Voices, and Automatic Voter Registration Projected Impact in 50 States," 2017. See text below for cost per registrant.

While there are different approaches to how to put automatic voter registration in place, our cost estimate is based on the experience in Oregon, the first state to put AVR in place and the one with the fullest data available. This means our analysis is implicitly based on a "back-end" system (where the agencies determine whether or not a person is eligible to vote) rather than a "front-end" system (where the applicants themselves choose whether or not to register as they go through the DMV application or other government interaction). Data about a "front-end" system are not available, but we have no reason to believe the costs would be dramatically higher or lower, though expenses would be different.

Oregon's total implementation costs included a one-time expense of roughly \$200,000 for the information technology infrastructure and programming, and an estimated cost of \$165,000 in the first year for printing, sending, and return postage of postcards⁵ The number of people registered in the first year was 283,152.⁶ We can thus estimate that the cost per registrant amounts to \$1.29 (\$365,000/283,152).

Holding the per-registrant costs constant, the total cost of the AVR system implementation in New York is thus estimated to be \$1.9 million (1.29×1.5 million) in the first year.

After the initial start-up, ongoing costs should be gradually reduced since the system will be automated, computers and networks will already be in place, and the large share of people currently eligible but not registered will already have been registered or had the opportunity to opt out.

Voter Registration Costs in New York State Today

The current system of processing voter applications requires staff to complete data entry, follow up with applicants about errors and missing information on forms, and sometimes to work overtime to ensure completion prior to Election Day. We estimate the total cost of both agency-based registration and registration by county boards of election was \$3.2 million during New York's 2018 election cycle.⁷ In the initial year, we envision the two systems functioning side by side, thus requiring a total outlay of \$5.1 million (\$1.9 million plus \$3.2 million). In the long run, there would likely be some savings achieved by automating many registration-related costs, even as more people are registered and voter information is updated more frequently.⁸

Number of			
People	Estimated Cost	Estimated	
Registered	per Person	Cost of 2018	
in 2018	Registered	Registration	
664,160	\$4.78	\$3.2 million	

Current Cost of Voter Registration: \$3.2 Million Estimate of 2018 Registration in New York State

Figure 2 Source: New York State Board of Elections data about the number registered in 2018 provided to the Fiscal Policy Institute. Estimates of were calculated using per unit cost estimates from "The Cost (Savings) of Reform: An Analysis of Local Registration-Related Costs and Potential Savings through Automatic Voter Registration." See Footnote 7 for details.



Most Agency-Based Registration is Currently from the DMV or Public Assistance Agencies

Figure 3 Source: New York State Board of Elections Annual Report, 2017.

This cost estimate is based on the number of people registered in 2018, as provided to the Fiscal Policy Institute by the New York State Board of Elections, and includes both people registered through agencies and those registered through county clerks' offices.

Among those people registered by agencies, by far the largest share are registered by the DMV offices, and most of the rest are through public assistance agencies—89 percent and 10 percent of the total in 2017.

Long-Term Advantages

The advantage of automated voter registration is the improvement it could make in the electoral process in New York. The fiscal impact is modest, and is neither a reason to support nor to oppose it.

There is good reason to think that the impact on voter registration and also on voter turnout would be substantial. In just the first year of implementation, Oregon's AVR program registered 260,000 residents, and 43 percent of those who registered through the program voted. Those registered through AVR accounted for more than half of all registered voters in 2016. The new system quadrupled the registration rate at Oregon's DMV, and nearly 100,000

automatic registrants cast ballots in 2016.⁹ AVR not only increased the number of voters, but it reversed the voter registrant decline that Oregon experienced between 2014 and 2015 from 2.19 million to 2.17 million registrants, a loss of 402,000 voters. The program also increased the age, racial, and income diversity of Oregon's voters, and an estimated two to three percentage point increase in voter turnout from 64 percent to 68 percent after implementation can be attributed to AVR.¹⁰

Currently, New York State has an online voter registration system that lets citizens fill out their voter registration forms electronically. Adopting AVR will reduce staff costs related to data entry and following up on registration form errors. Additionally, AVR will help reduce affidavit voting, by keeping voters' information updated consistently.¹¹ Most importantly, it would increase access to the polls and increase voter participation. AVR will increase registration rates among individuals in "hard-to-reach" populations: the elderly, low-income families, and anyone who may not have access to a computer for online registration, or transportation to resources with information on how to register to vote.

New York Ranks 46th out of 50 States in Share of Citizens Registered to Vote

International and the second		Population		
Years and Older (n) Registered (n) Voting Age Who Are Registered State Thousands Thousands Who Are Registered United States 224,059 157,596 70.33% District of Columbia 512 420 82,1% Mississippi 2170 1725 79,55% Minesota 3,985 3,055 76,7% Washington 5,104 3,906 76,55% Virginia 5,229 4,339 75,5% Virginia 5,229 4,339 75,5% Nebraska 1,1336 1,008 75,5% North Dakota 564 424 75,2% North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74,6% Missouri 4,486 3,333 74,3% Michigan 7,332 5,434 74,1% Masachusetts 4,967 3,660 73,3% Mortana 790 581 73,5% Disorri 2,292 2,147 73,3% Delaware				Percent of
State Older (in Thousands) (in) Thousands) Who Are Registered Registered Inited States 224059 157.596 70.3% District of Columbia 1512 420 82.1% Mine 1.038 833 80.0% Mississippi 2.170 1.725 79.5% Minesota 3.985 3.055 76.7% Washington 5.104 3.906 76.5% Wisconsin 4.354 3.233 76.3% Virginia 5.829 4.399 75.5% New Hampshire 1.012 763 75.4% North Dakota 564 424 75.2% Maryland 4.158 3.114 74.9% North Caroline 6,660 5.194 74.3% Mitoigan 7.332 5.434 74.3% Michigan 7.332 5.434 74.3% Mort Caroline 8.960 5.047 73.3% Mort Carolina 3.393 2.446 73.3% Die		Citizens 18	Total	Citizens of
State Thousands) Thousands) Registered United States 224,059 157,596 70.3% District of Columbia 512 420 82.7% Minesissiopi 21.70 17.25 79.5% Minesota 3,985 3,055 76.7% Washington 5,104 3,306 76.5% Virginia 5,829 4,339 75.5% Netroska 1,336 1,008 75.5% Netroska 1,336 1,008 75.5% North Dakota 564 424 75.2% Maryland 4,158 3,114 74.9% North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74.6% Missouri 4,486 3,333 74.3% Missouri 4,486 3,333 74.3% Missouri 7,332 5,434 74.1% Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73.7% Morthaa 790 581 73.5% Missouri 4,967		Years and	Registered	Voting Age
United States 224,059 157,596 70.3% District of Columbia 512 420 82.1% Maine 1.038 830 80.0% Minesota 3.985 3.055 76.7% Washington 5.104 3.906 765.8% Wisconsin 4.354 3.323 76.3% Virginia 5.829 4.399 75.5% Nebraska 1.336 10.08 75.5% Netraska 1.336 10.08 75.5% North Dakota 564 424 75.2% North Caroline 6.960 5.194 74.6% Missouri 4.486 3.333 74.3% Michigan 7.332 5.434 74.3% Michigan 7.332 5.434 74.3% Michigan 7.332 5.444 73.5% Montana 790 581 73.5% Montana 790 581 73.5% Jouisiana 3.353 2.446				
District of Columbia 512 420 82.1% Maine 10.38 830 80.0% Mississippi 2.170 1.725 79.5% Minnesota 3.985 3.055 76.7% Washington 5.104 3.906 76.5% Wisconsin 4.354 3.323 76.3% Virginia 5.829 4.399 75.5% New Hampshire 1.012 763 75.4% Neth Dakota 564 4.24 75.2% North Dakota 564 4.24 75.2% Maryland 4.158 3.14 74.3% Misouri 4.446 3.333 74.3% Illinois 8.970 6.665 74.3% Michigan 7.332 5.434 74.3% Misouri 4.466 3.333 74.3% Michigan 7.332 5.434 74.3% Michigan 7.332 5.434 74.3% Michigan 7.332 5.434 73	State	Thousands)	Thousands)	Registered
1 Maine 1,038 830 80.0% 2 Mississippi 2,170 1,725 79.5% 3 Minesota 3,985 3,055 76.7% 4 Washington 5,104 3,906 76.5% 5 Wisconsin 4,354 3,523 76.3% 6 Virginia 5.829 4,399 75.5% 7 Nebraska 1,336 1,008 75.5% 8 New Hampshire 1012 763 75.4% 9 North Dakota 564 424 75.2% 10 Maryland 4,158 3,114 74.9% 11 North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74.6% 12 Missouri 4,486 3,333 74.3% 13 Illinois 8,970 6,665 74.3% 14 Colorado 3,895 2,893 74.3% 15 Michigan 7,352 5,147.3% 16 Massachusetts				
2 Mississippi 2,170 1,725 79,5% 3 Minnesota 3,985 3,055 76,7% 4 Washington 5,104 3,906 76,5% 5 Wisconsin 4,354 3,323 76,3% 6 Virginia 5,829 4,399 75,5% 7 Nebraska 1,336 1,008 75,5% 8 New Hampshire 1,012 763 75,4% 9 North Dakota 564 424 75,2% 10 Maryland 4,158 3,11 74,4% 11 North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74,6% 12 Missouri 4,466 3,333 74,3% 13 Illinois 8,970 6,665 74,3% 14 Colorado 3,895 2,893 74,3% 15 Michigan 7,332 5,434 74,1% 16 Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73,7% 1				
3 Minnesota 3,985 3,055 76,7% 4 Washington 5,104 3,906 76,5% 5 Wisconsin 4,354 3,323 76,3% 6 Virginia 5,829 4,399 75,5% 7 Nebraska 1,335 1,008 75,5% 8 New Hampshire 1,012 763 75,4% 9 North Dakota 564 424 75,2% 10 Maryland 4,158 3,114 74,9% 11 North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74,6% Missouri 4,466 3,333 74,3% 11 Morth Caroline 6,960 5,194 74,8% 13 Michigan 7,332 5,434 74,3% 14 Colorado 3,895 2,893 74,3% 15 Michigan 7,332 5,434 74,3% 16 Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73,7% 16	Maine			
4 Washington 5,104 3,906 76.5% 5 Wisconsin 4,354 3,323 76.3% 6 Virginia 5,829 4,399 75.5% 7 Nebraska 1,336 1,008 75.5% 8 New Hampshire 1,012 763 75.4% 9 North Dakota 564 424 75.2% 10 Maryland 4,158 3,114 74.9% 11 North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74.6% 12 Missouri 4,486 3,333 74.3% 10 Morth Caroline 6,960 5,194 74.6% 11 Inois 8,970 6,665 74.3% 10 Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73.7% 11 Mortana 790 581 73.5% 10 Delaware 669 487 72.8% 10 Origon 2,292 1,657 72.3% 10				
Visconsin 4,354 3,233 76.3% Virginia 5,829 4,399 75.5% Nebraska 1,336 1,008 75.5% New Hampshire 1,012 763 75.4% North Dakota 564 424 75.2% Maryland 4,158 3,114 774.9% North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74.6% Missouri 4,466 3,333 74.3% Illinois 8,970 6,665 74.3% Colorado 3,895 2,893 74.3% Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.1% Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73.7% Montana 790 581 73.5% Oregon 2,929 2,147 73.3% Louisiana 3,353 2,446 73.0% Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.3% Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-	
6 Virginia 5,829 4,399 75,5% Nebraska 1,336 1,008 75,5% 8 New Hampshire 1,012 763 75,4% 9 North Dakota 564 424 75,2% 9 North Dakota 564 424 75,2% 9 North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74,6% 11 Missouri 4,486 3,333 74,3% 13 Illinois 8,970 6,665 74,3% 14 Colorado 3,895 2,893 74,3% 14 Colorado 3,895 2,893 74,3% 16 Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73,7% 16 Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73,7% 17 Montana 790 581 73,5% 18 Oregon 2,292 1,657 72,3% 10 Delaware 669 427 72,6% 10wa 2,292 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
Nebraska 1,336 1,008 75.5% New Hampshire 1,012 763 75.4% North Dakota 564 424 75.2% Maryland 4,158 3,114 74.9% Missouri 4,486 3,333 74.3% Illinois 8,970 6,665 74.3% Kichigan 7,332 5,434 74.3% Kichigan 7,332 5,434 74.3% Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.3% Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.3% Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.3% Oregon 2,929 2,147 73.3% Delaware 669 487 72.8% Iowa 2,292 1,657 72.3% Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% Vermont 488 351 71.9% South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% South Dakota 612 437 71.4%			-	
New Hampshire 1,012 7.63 7.5.4% 9 North Dakota 564 424 7.5.2% 10 Maryland 4,158 3,114 7.4.9% 11 North Caroline 6,960 5,994 7.4.6% 12 Missouri 4,486 3,333 7.4.3% 13 Illinois 8,970 6,665 7.4.3% 14 Colorado 3,895 2,893 7.4.3% 15 Michigan 7.332 5,434 7.4.1% 16 Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 7.3.7% 17 Montana 7.90 581 7.3.5% 18 Oregon 2,929 2,147 7.3.3% 19 Louisiana 3,353 2,446 73.0% 20 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 21 lowa 2,292 1,657 71.6% 22 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 24 Vermo	-		,	
9 North Dakota 564 424 752% 10 Maryland 4,158 3,114 74.9% 11 North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74.6% 12 Missouri 4,486 3,333 74.3% 13 Illinois 8,970 6,665 74.3% 14 Colorado 3,895 2,893 74.3% 15 Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.3% 16 Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73.7% 17 Montana 790 581 73.5% 10 Oregon 2,292 1,167 72.8% 11 Iowa 2,292 1,657 72.3% 12 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 13 Ileware 669 437 7.1% 14 Masa 351 71.9% 2.555 71.6% 15 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 2.483 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
Maryland 4,158 3,114 74.9% North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74.6% Missouri 4,486 3,333 74.3% Illinois 8,970 6,665 74.3% Colorado 3,895 2,893 74.3% Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.1% Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73.7% Montana 790 581 73.5% Oregon 2,929 2,147 73.3% Louisiana 3,353 2,446 73.0% Delaware 669 487 72.8% Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% Vermont 488 351 71.9% South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% South Dakota 612 437 71.4% Wyoming 427 304 71.1% Wyoming 427 304 71.1%				
North Caroline 6,960 5,194 74.6% Missouri 4,486 3,333 74.3% Illinois 8,970 6,665 74.3% Kolardo 3,895 2,893 74.3% Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.1% Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73.7% Montana 790 581 73.5% Oregon 2,929 2,147 73.3% Delaware 6669 487 72.8% Ilowa 2,292 1,657 72.3% Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% Vermont 488 351 71.9% South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% South Dakota 612 437 71.4% Alaska 502 358 71.3% Wyoming 427 304 71.1% Vatah 1,969 1,045 69.9%				
Missouri 4,486 3,333 74.3% Illinois 8,970 6,665 74.3% Kolorado 3,895 2,893 74.3% Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.1% Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.1% Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73.7% Montana 790 581 73.5% Dregon 2,929 2,147 73.3% Louisiana 3,353 2,446 73.0% Delaware 6669 487 72.8% Onio 8,499 6,128 72.1% Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% Vermont 488 351 71.9% South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% South Dakota 612 437 71.4% Masa 502 358 71.3% Wyoming 427 304 71.1% Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0%	-			
Illinois 8,970 6,665 74.3% Colorado 3,895 2,893 74.3% Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.1% Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73.7% Montana 790 581 73.5% Oregon 2,929 2,147 73.3% Delaware 669 487 72.8% Obio 8,499 6,128 72.1% Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% Vermont 488 351 71.9% South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% South Dakota 612 437 71.4% Haska 502 358 71.3% Wyoming 427 304 71.1% Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9%				
14 Colorado 3,895 2,893 74.3% 15 Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.1% 16 Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73.7% 17 Montana 790 581 73.5% 18 Oregon 2,929 2,147 73.3% 19 Louisiana 3,353 2,446 73.0% 20 Delaware 669 487 72.8% 20 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 21 Iowa 2,292 1,657 72.3% 22 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 20 Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% 24 Vermont 488 351 71.1% 25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.0% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
15 Michigan 7,332 5,434 74.1% 16 Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73.7% 17 Montana 790 581 73.5% 18 Oregon 2,929 2,147 73.3% 19 Louisiana 3,353 2,446 73.0% 20 Delaware 669 487 72.8% 21 Iowa 2,292 1,657 72.3% 22 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 23 Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% 24 Vermont 488 351 71.1% 25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 304 71.1% 29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 36 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 37 Kansas 2,029				
Massachusetts 4,967 3,660 73,7% Montana 790 581 73,5% Oregon 2,929 2,147 73,3% Jouisiana 3,353 2,446 73,0% Delaware 669 487 72,8% Iowa 2,222 1,657 72,3% Ohio 8,499 6,128 72,1% Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72,0% Vermont 488 351 71,9% South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71,6% South Dakota 612 437 71,4% Alaska 502 358 71,3% Wyoming 427 30.4 71,1% Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% Alabama 3,651 2,526 69.2%				
17 Montana 790 581 73.5% 18 Oregon 2.929 2,147 73.3% 19 Louisiana 3,353 2,446 73.0% 20 Delaware 669 487 72.8% 21 Iowa 2,292 1,657 72.3% 22 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 23 Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% 24 Vermont 488 351 71.9% 25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975			-	
Oregon 2,929 2,147 73.3% 19 Louisiana 3,353 2,446 73.0% 20 Delaware 669 487 72.8% 21 Iowa 2,292 1,657 72.3% 22 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 23 Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% 24 Vermont 488 351 71.9% 25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 30.4 71.1% 29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958<				
Indication 3,553 2,446 73.0% 20 Delaware 669 487 72.8% 21 Iowa 2,292 1,657 72.3% 22 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 23 Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% 24 Vermont 488 351 71.9% 25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 304 71.1% 29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975<				
20 Delaware 669 487 72.8% 21 Iowa 2.292 1,657 72.3% 22 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 23 Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% 24 Vermont 488 351 71.9% 25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 304 71.1% 29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky	-		-	
1 Iowa 2,292 1,657 72.3% 22 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 23 Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% 24 Vermont 488 351 71.9% 25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 30.4 71.1% 29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
22 Ohio 8,499 6,128 72.1% 23 Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% 24 Vermont 488 351 71.9% 25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 304 71.1% 29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69.4% 37 Alabama				
23 Pennsylvania 9,596 6,909 72.0% 24 Vermont 488 351 71.9% 25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 304 71.1% 29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 69.2% 34 Arka			-	
24 Vermont 488 351 71.9% 25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 304 71.1% 29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 69.2% 3 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68.8% 39 Indiana </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
25 South Carolina 3,598 2,575 71.6% 26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 304 71.1% 29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 69.2% 34 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68.8% 41 Ariz	-		-	
26 South Dakota 612 437 71.4% 27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 304 71.1% 29 Utah 1.969 1.398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2.483 1.763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2.029 1.438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5.958 4.165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1.975 1.371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3.246 2.253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7.048 4.892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3.651 2.526 69.2% 38 Arkansas 2.116 1.456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4.795 3.298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1.150 790 68.7% 4 Arizona				
27 Alaska 502 358 71.3% 28 Wyoming 427 304 71.1% 29 Utah 1.969 1.398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2.483 1.763 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2.483 1.763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2.029 1.438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5.958 4.165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1.975 1.371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3.246 2.253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7.048 4.892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3.651 2.526 69.2% 38 Arkansas 2.116 1.456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4.795 3.298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1.150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4.585 3.145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2.746 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
28 Wyoming 427 304 71.1% 29 Utah 1.969 1.398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2.483 1.763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2.029 1.438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5.958 4.165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1.975 1.371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3.246 2.253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7.048 4.892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3.651 2.526 69.2% 38 Arkansas 2.116 1.456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4.795 3.298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1.150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4.585 3.145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2.746 1.861 67.8% 43 Texas		-	-	
29 Utah 1,969 1,398 71.0% 30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 69.2% 38 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennes				
30 Connecticut 2,483 1,763 71.0% 31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1.975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 69.2% 38 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.6% 46 N				
31 Kansas 2,029 1,438 70.9% 32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 69.2% 38 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.7% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.5% 46 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 47 New Mexico <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></t<>			-	
32 Rhode Island 766 538 70.3% 33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 692.% 38 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.7% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 N			-	
33 New Jersey 5,958 4,165 69.9% 34 Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 692.% 38 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.6% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 13,396 916 65.6% 48				
A Nevada 1,975 1,371 69.4% 35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69.4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69.4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 692.4% 38 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.7% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
35 Kentucky 3,246 2,253 69,4% 36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69,4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 69,2% 38 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68,8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68,8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68,7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68,6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67,8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67,5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66,6% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66,6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66,5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65,6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64,7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64,1%			-	
36 Georgia 7,048 4,892 69,4% 37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 69,2% 38 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68,8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68,8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68,7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68,6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67,8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67,5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66,6% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66,6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66,5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65,6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64,7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64,1%				
37 Alabama 3,651 2,526 69.2% 38 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.7% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
38 Arkansas 2,116 1,456 68.8% 39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.6% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%	-	-		
39 Indiana 4,795 3,298 68.8% 40 Idaho 1,150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.7% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
40 Idaho 1,150 790 68.7% 41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.7% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
41 Arizona 4,585 3,145 68.6% 42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.7% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
42 Oklahoma 2,746 1,861 67.8% 43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.7% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
43 Texas 17,378 11,724 67.5% 44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.7% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
44 Tennessee 4,872 3,251 66.7% 45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
45 Florida 14,428 9,604 66.6% 46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
46 New York 13,751 9,142 66.5% 47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
47 New Mexico 1,396 916 65.6% 48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
48 California 24,890 16,096 64.7% 49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%				
49 West Virginia 1,425 913 64.1%		-		

Figure 4 Source: Fiscal Policy Institute analysis of November 2016 Current Population Survey. District of Columbia is shown but not included in count of states.

A generation ago, in 1993 Congress passed the National Voter Registration Act (NVRA) or "Motor Voter" law to address voter registration challenges by using the most advanced technology available at the time. With this legislation, more eligible citizens were registered to vote than ever before.¹² Twenty-five years later New York has the opportunity to modernize its voter registration system, keep voter registration rolls up-to-date and remove obstacles to voter registration while saving tax payers' money. It's time for New York State to embrace this opportunity to create a more robust and inclusive democracy.

By: Cyierra Roldan, Shamier Settle, David Dyssegaard Kallick and Jonas Shaende

⁸ See "Potential Cost Savings of Automatic Voter Registration in Nevada." Oregon has a two-year budget cycle. To get the annual cost of sending post cards, we took the two-year estimate and divided by two.

⁹ Oregon Secretary of State December 2014 & 2015 statistics. https://sos.oregon.gov/elections/pages/electionsstatistics.aspx

¹¹ See "Automatic Voter Registration" from the Brennan Center for Justice, <u>https://www.brennancenter.org/analysis/automatic-voter-registration</u>

¹ See National Conference of State Legislatures, <u>http://www.ncsl.org/research/elections-and-campaigns/automatic-voter-registration.aspx</u>

² Figure 4 shows the registration rates by state. For data on the voter turnout rate by state in the parallel time period, November 2016, see: <u>https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/voting-and-registration/p20-580.html</u>

³ "Automatic Voter Registration," National Conference of State Legislatures, December 3, 2018, http://www.ncsl.org/research/elections-and-campaigns/automatic-voter-registration.aspx#states%20auto%20reg

⁴ Sources for Figure 1: Number of New York residents who are U.S. citizens over 18 but not registered to vote from FPI analysis of November 2016 CPS. Current number registered to vote each year from Figure 3, below. Number registered to vote in first year of AVR from Center for American Progress, "Close Elections, Missing Voices, and Automatic Voter Registration Projected Impact in 50 States," 2017.

⁵ "The Minimal Costs of Automatic Voter Registration Implementation" from Common Cause, <u>https://www.commoncause.org/massachusetts/wp-content/uploads/sites/3/2018/05/AVR-Cost-Report-May-2018.pdf</u>

⁶ Data from the office of the Oregon Secretary of State, available at

https://sos.oregon.gov/elections/Documents/OMV/omv-statistics-2016.pdf

⁷ The typical cost of registration per person registered was calculated from "The Cost (Savings) of Reform: An Analysis of Local Registration-Related Costs and Potential Savings through Automatic Voter Registration" by Dough Chapin and David Kuennen. This study surveyed 420 localities in 49 states and the District of Columbia. The Fiscal Policy Institute summed the average per unit costs for full time staff (\$3.53), temporary workers and overtime pay (\$0.67), paper registration forms (\$0.06), staff time and materials used to follow up on errors (\$0.51) to estimate the cost per person registered (\$4.78).

¹⁰ See https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/democracy/reports/2017/06/07/433677/votes-automatic-voter-registration/

¹² Federal Election Commission, The Impact of the National Voter Registration Act of 1993 on the Administration of Federal Elections, <u>https://www.fec.gov/about/reports-about-fec/agency-operations/impact-national-voter-registration-act-1993-administration-federal-elections-html/</u>